PART III—Section 2

NOTIFICATIONS BY THE HEADS OF DEPARTMENTS

JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT BANGALORE.

Insolvency Application No. 53 *of* 1922-23.

P. Chengalraya Chettiar, Vellore ... ... *Creditor, (Petitioner).*

vs.

(1) Krishna Rao, (2) Sadasiva Rao ... ... *Applicants, (Debtors).*

On the application of P. Chengalraya Chettiar, son of Gurunatha Rao, Vellore, and on reading the application and hearing on 3rd February 1933, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 30th August 1929, against debtors (1) Krishna Rao, (2) Sadasiva Rao be and the same is hereby annulled.

Insolvency Application No. 83 *of* 1927-28.

Gangappa, son of G. Veerappa, Kavadi Ramannasettipet, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

Narayanasetty, Anchepet, Bangalore City ... . *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 22nd February 1928, against petitioner and on the application of Gangappa of Bangalore City and on reading the application and hearing on 10th February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 14th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 24th March 1933.

Discharge in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 19 *of* 1928-29.

(1) Krishnappa, (2) Hanumanthappa, (3) Chikachannappa, sons of S. Venkatappa, Gollavarahalli, Chikballapur Taluk .. *Petitioners.*

vs.

Hosahally Muni Venkatappa, Chikballapur and others ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 28th July 1928, against the petitioners and on the application of Krishnappa, Hanumanthappa, Chikachannappa and on reading the application and hearing on 10th February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 14th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 31st March 1933.

Discharge in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 79 *of* 1929-30.

Y. S. Ramakrishna Rao, son of Subba Rao, Cottonpet, Bangalore City... *Petitioner*

vs.

Indiramma *alias* Sabbamma, son of Narasimha Sastry, Closepet, and others ... . *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 23rd December 1929, against the petitioner and on the application of Y. S. Ramakrishna Rao of Bangalore City and on reading the application and hearing on 3rd February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 6th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 17th March 1933.

Discharge in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 55 *of* 1930-31.

Ramaiya, son of Peddanna, Kadu Bisalahalli, Bangalore Taluk ... *Petitioner*

vs.

Ramaswami Reddy, Bangalore, City, and others ... .. *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 5th November 1930, against petitioner and on the application of Ramaiya of Kadu Bisalahalli and on reading the application and hearing on 10th February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 14th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 31st March 1933.

Discharge in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 67 *of* 1930-31.

Subramanyaiya, son of Ramaiya, Poojari, Madivala, Bangalore City ... *Petitioner.*

vs

Munichinnappa, Adagodi, Bangalore Taluk ... ... *Opponent.*

On the application of Subramanyaiya, son of Ramaiya, Poojari, Madivala, Bangalore Taluk, and on reading the application and hearing on 3rd February 1933, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated 17th June 1932 against Subramanyaiya, son of Ramaiya, Madivala, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Insolvency Application No. 177 *of* 1930-31.

Chikavenkata *alias* Pillaga, Kodagenahalli, Bangalore Taluk ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

Sunkalchannappa, Bangalore City, and others ... ... *Opponents.*

On the application of Chikavenkata *alias* Pillaga of Kodagenahalli, Bangalore Taluk, and on reading the application and hearing on10th February 1933, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated 15th July 1932 against Chikavenkata *alias* Pillaga, Kodagenahalli, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Insolvency Application No. 186 *of* 1931-32.

Bhyrappa, son of Sonnappa, Bidarahalli, Hoskote Taluk ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

Bhyrappa, Gopalapur Nagavar, Bangalore Taluk and others ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 28th May 1932, against petitioner and on the application of Bhyrappa of Bidarahalli and on reading the application and hearing on 10th February 1933 it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 14th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove debts by 31st March 1933.

Discharge in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 119 *of* 1931-32.

Muniswamappa *alias* Periyanna, Fruit Merchant, New Market, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner.*

vs

Gullamull Thijaban Das, Banker, Jewellers Street, Bangalore ... *Opponent.*

On the application of Muniswamappa *alias* Periyanna Fruit merchat of New Market, Bangalore City and on reading the application and hearing on 3rd February 1933, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated 29th day of July 1932 against Muniswamappa *alias* Periyanna of Bangalore City be and the same is hereby annulled.

Insolvency Application No. 197 *of* 1931-32.

Venkatasamy, son of Arjun Maistry, 9, Arcot Srinivasachar Street, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner*

vs

Nanjappa, Bangalore City, and others ... ... *Opponents*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 25th June 1932, against petitioner and on the application of Venkatasamy of Bangalore City and on reading the application and hearing on 10th February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 14th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 31st March 1933.

Discharge in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 43 *of* 1932-33.

Y. S. Nanjundiah, son of Shamanna, D. No. 12, Balepet, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner*

vs.

Venkamma, wife of Nanjundaiah by Agent N. Subba Rao, Seegebeli, Bangalore City ... ... *Opponents*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 16th September 1932, against petitioner and on the application of Y. S. Nanjundaiah of Bangalore City and on reading the application and hearing on 3rd February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 6th day of February 1933.

Subject to his paying Rs. 3 every month.

Creditor to prove his debt by 17th March 1933.

Discharge, in one year.

Insolvency Application No. 69 *of* 1932-33.

Ramaiya, son of Chikapapaiah, Byappanahalli, Bangalore Taluk ... *Petitioner*

vs.

(1) Doddappa, (2) Chikappa, Byappanahalli, Bangalore Taluk, and others ... . *Opponents*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 1st November 1932, against petitioner and on the application of Ramaiya of Byappanahalli, and on reading the petition and hearing on 10th February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 14th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 31st March 1933.

Discharge, in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 93 *of* 1932-33.

B. Doddakappanna, son of Basappa, Contractor, Munireddypalya, Bangalore City ... ... *Petitioner*

vs.

(1) Ramaiya, Yamalar, Bangalore Taluk, and others ... ... *Opponents*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 1st December 1932, against petitioner and on the application of B. Doddakappanna and on reading the application and hearing on 10th February 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 14th day of February 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 31st March 1933.

Discharge, in six months.

R. PUTTARAJ URS,
Subordinate Judge.

Insolvency Application No. 173 *of* 1930-31.

V. D. Seshachala Mudaliar, son of Raju Mudaliar, Clerk, Mines and Exploisves Department, Robertsonpet, Kolar Gold Field ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

H. Goverchand, Money Lender, Robertsonpet, Kolar Gold Field ... *Opponent.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 15th June 1931, against petitioner, and on the application of V. D. Seshachala Mudaliar of Kolar Gold Field, and on reading application and hearing on 27th January 1933, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 28th day of January 1933.

Creditors to prove their debts by 24th February 1933.

Discharge in six months.

Insolvency Application No. 34 *of* 1923-24.

(1) S. Amerudeen, (2) Sheik Imam ... . *Petitioners.*

vs

Jes Raj Bhaktavaramull, Andersonpet, Kolar Gold Field ... *Opponent.*

On the application of S. Amerudeen, Sheik Imam, Cloth Merchants, Andersonpet, Kolar Gold Field, and on reading the application of petitioners and hearing, the 27th January 1933, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 19th December 1924, against S. Amerudeen, Sheik Imam, Cloth Merchants, Andersonpet, Kolar Gold Field, be and the same is hereby annulled.

Insolvency Application No. 9 *of* 1928-29.

K. Shakkarappa, K. Sankarappa, sons of Basappa, Madamangala, Bowringpet Taluk .. .. *Petitioners.*

vs

K. B. Mallappa, Mandi, Bowringpet, and others ... *Opponents.*

On the application of K. Sakkarappa, K. Sankarappa, sons of Basappa, Madamangala, Bowringpet Taluk and on reading the application of petitioners and hearing on 27th January

1933, it is ordered that the order of adjudication, dated 4th December 1931, against K. Sakkarappa, K. Sankarappa of Madamangala, Bowringpet Taluk, be and the same is hereby annulled.

M. N. SUBBANNA,
Subordinate Judge.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT CHIKBALLAPUR.

Insolvency Application No. 7 *of* 1931-32.

Munisami Mudaliar, Ganjigunte, Basettahalli Hobli, Sidlaghatta Taluk *Petitioner.*

vs.

Rajammal and others ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 3rd September 1931, against the opponents and on the application of the petitioner and on reading the petition and hearing the petitioner, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 27th day of January—7th February 1933.

Creditors to prove debts by 24th March 1933.

Time for discharge, six months.

Insolvency Application No. 8 *of* 1931-32.

Bheema Rao, son of Pranesha Rao, Chikballapur .. ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

1. T. Gopaliah and others ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 10th September 1931, against the opponents, and on the application of the petitioner and on reading the petition, and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 27th day of January—7th February 1933.

Opponents to prove debts by 24th March 1933.

Time for discharge, three months.

Insolvency Application No. 15 *of* 1931-32.

Sabji Sabi, son of Fakrudeen Sabi, Sidlaghatta .... *Petitioner.*

vs.

Rajadhani Bank by Manager Khande Rao, Sidlaghatta .. ... *Opponents.*

The petitioner having failed to proceed with the insolvency case, it is ordered that the order of adjudication dated against the petitioner be and the same is hereby annulled.

Dated the 3rd day of February 1933.

Insolvency Application No. 21 *of* 1931-32.

Narasappa, resident of Dinne Hosahalli, Chikballapur Taluk ... *Petitioner.*

vs.

Settihalli Venkatasamappa and others ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 10th March 1932, against the opponents and on the application of the petitioner, and on reading the application and hearing the parties, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 6th day of January 1933.

Creditors to prove debts before 24th March 1933.

Time for discharge, one year from this date.

H. VENKATARAMANAYYA,
Munsiff.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUB-JUDGE AT SHIMOGA.

Insolvency Application No. 9 *of* 1931-32.

Veerabhadrappa, son of Siddappa, Resident of Channagiri ... *Petitioner (Debtor).*

vs.

Halappa and others .... *Opponents (Creditors).*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 25th September 1931, by the debtor, and on the application of the said debtor, and on reading the application, and hearing the petition, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 10th day of February 1933.

Hearing, 24th March 1933.

Insolvency Application No. 24 *of* 1931-32.

Tirukappa, Resident of Hosakoppa of Gajanoor Magani, Shimoga Taluk ... *Petitioner.* (*Debtor*)

vs.

Kotrappa and others ... ... *Opponents.* (*Creditors*)

Pursuant to a petition, dated the 30th January 1932, by the debtor, and on the application of the said debtor, and on reading the application, and hearing the petitioner, it is ordered that the debtor, be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged inolvent.

Dated this 10th day of February 1933.

Hearing date, 24th March 1933.

Insolvency Application No. 32 *of* 1931-32.

A. Basavannappa, son of Murigappa, Resident of Sagar ... *Petitioner.* (*Debtor*).

vs.

Manjappa and others ... ... *Opponents.* (*Creditors*)

Pursuant to a petition, dated 15th March 1932, by the debtor and on the application of the said debtor and on reading the application and hearing the petitioner it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 10th day of February 1933.

Hearing date. 24th March 1933.

Insolvency Application No. 1 *of* 1932-33.

Mariyappa, son of Hanumappa, Resident of Mantaragatti, Channagiri Taluk .. .. *Petitioner.* (*Debtor*).

vs.

Gajaraj and others ... ... *Opponents.* (*Creditors*).

Pursuant to a petition, dated 6th July 1932, by the debtor and on the application of the said debtor, and on reading the application and hearing the petitioner, and 1st opponent, and 3rd opponent, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Dated this 10th day of February 1933.

Hearing date, 2nd June 1933.

C. ABDUL GHANI,
Sub-Judge.

IN THE COURT OF THE MUNSIFF AT CHITALDRUG.

Insolvency Application No. 19 *of* 1932-33.

Nallappa, son of Bommappa, residing at Chikkabennur, Chitaldrug Taluk *Petitioner.*

vs

1. Ramappa, 2. Karibasappa, 3. Dyamappa, 4. Doddadyamappa, 5. Rudrappa, 6. Huchappa, 1 to 4 residing at Chikkabennur, Chitaldrug Taluk, 5 residing at Kunubevu, 6 residing at Biravara, Chitaldrug Taluk ... ... *Opponents.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 5th October 1932 against the opponents and on the application of the petitioner and on reading the petition and hearing the opponents 3, 4 and 5 others being absent, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjudged insolvent.

Time for discharge six months.

Dated this 17th day of February 1933.

S. SRINIVASAN,
Munsiff.

IN THE COURT OF THE SUBORDINATE JUDGE AT MYSORE.

Insolvency No. 51 *of* 1931-32.

Narasimhiah, son of Manjunathiah, Devaraja Mohalla, Mysore .... *Petitioner.* *Debtor.*

vs.

Moolchand Sait and others ... ... *Opponents,* *Creditor.*

Pursuant to a petition, dated 2nd December 1931 and on the application of the aforesaid petitioner and on reading the same and examining him, it is ordered that the debtor be and the said debtor is hereby adjuded insolvent.

Time for discharge three months.

Dated 11th day of February 1933.

Insolvency No. 64 of 1931-32.

Darasaguppa Lingiah, son of Lingarajegowda, residing at Eranagere, Lashker Mohalla, Mysore ... . *Petitioner.*

vs.

Insolvent.

K. Abdul Gafoor Sab ... ... *Opponent.*

Creditor.

On the application of Darasaguppa Lingiah adjudged insolvent on the 25th day of June 1932, and examining him, it is ordered that the said insolvent be discharged forthwith.

Dated the 11th day of February 1933.

S. R. RAMASWAMI AIYAR.
Subordinate Judge.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Notification dated 10th February 1933.

It is hereby notified that as required by Rule of Practice No. 86 dated 12th April 1913, the following time-barred Criminal Records of the Special Second Class Magistrate's Court, Shimoga, will be destroyed and that copies and other exhibits filed therein may be taken back by the parties concerned on application to this Court within three months from the date of publication of this notification after which date the records will be destroyed.

1920-21.

Mis. Case Nos.—69, 77 ... ... 2 records.

1922-23.

C. C. No.—133 ... ... 1 record.

1923-24.

C. C. Nos.—117 258 187 ... ... 3 records.

1924-25.

C. C. Nos.—80 311 192 306 298 301 44 45 257 309 320 66 323 312 313 319 91 244 300 307 318 194 143 256 297 322 158 305 99 304 308 302 295 273 310 317 111 303 195 265 289 315 ... ... 42 records.

1925-26.

C. C. Nos.—277 140 237 280 283 24 229 195 230 233 243 216 191 215 198 223 279 224 263 259 138 219 218 282 251 249 169 182 63 71 72 95 132 173 265 226 217 222 266 264 281 278 228 267 239 207 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 2 13 14 15 16 17 22 23 20 12 25 40 41 42 93 74 44 92 87 51 94 38 35 81 104 37 96 208 119 112 34*129 116 62 57 128 61 125 110 147 148 153 155 121 123 122 146 145 144 143 91 124 135 142 141 88 55 11 49 36 53 54 58 18 47 1 73 75 26 27 28 45 64 65 68 69 70 48 101 105 19 79 100 103 107 33 89 86 102 66 117 118 58(a) 83 60 43 80 191 202 203 29 98 109 150 170 46 188 189 193 205 31 66 77 113 59 221 78 76 156 194 99 120 185 130 204 213 183 187 241 242 117 (a) 134 133 108 30 39 52 154 127 159 to 166 50 136 149 175 174 67 179 178 177 176 85 168 247 139 212 240 253 268 201 262 199 258 181 298 106 158 255 244 196 235 232 234 227 246 252 254 248 192 256 257 225 272 172 273 270 274 271 171 275 245 276 180 200 197 186 115 ... ... 250 records.

1926-27.

C. C. Nos.—23 1 2 3 5 8 22 18 38 43 76 71 85 15 100 83 84 9 72 10 6 12 114 20 31 89 57 128 39 16 116 110 64 113 63 62 58 111 24 61 112 108 146 25 7 65 67 92 90 66 13 99 69 14 127 126 107 41 56 106 37 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 139 140 141 77 144 53 48 47 30 74 40 11 28 143 166 186 187 162 174 93 125 148 204 203 189 184 209 226 227 228 229 230 118 205 115 129 175 218 213 214 217 216 215 236 233 176 194 238 239 240 95 206 142 44 45 124 122 19 78 88 103 70 101 82 158 156 157 155 94 86 120 145 96 109 79 160 161 165 149 167 188 181 154 182 147 98 202 173 262 219 222 231 188 223 264 259 237 234 256 258 168 252 225 235 265 196 130 253 192 245 117 210 152 179 208 251 170 254 138 150 197 241 242 243 248 247 191 97 220 224 75 195 255 266 212 260 49 33 68 42 32 34 51 52 29 46 55 177 185 249 244 211 193 261 268 102 257 ... ... 225 records.

1927-28.

C. C. Nos.—42 77 47 49 6 82 74 90 48 98 99 10 36 15 16 17 18 19 20 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 69 68 70 71 72 3 85 86 87 55 58 88 76 50 2 34 31 1 4 23 27 52 8 7 12 11 28 29 124 129 316 112 299 184 241 314 308 306 176 309 305 329 84 196 219 167 193 73 189 175 185 213 211 228 238 239 240 210 242 245 139 132 113 229 231 158 169 141 170 171 97 218 250 162 197 186 187 102 125 123 127 117 144 119 41 174 138 53 109 166 163 182 147 177 192 181 25 205 100 26 206 108 133 134 135 136 57 137 121 54 89 38 131 155 56 130 118 142 140 96 51 178 266 265 264 263 255 248 188 201 230 280 281 199 198 284 40 21 224 183 93 156 126 164 148 105 104 103 107 110 191 214 209 179 79 168 24 225 143 217 91 106 227 237 343 344 345 346 203 293 326 313 304 351 307 354 178 290 339 276 365 254 277 153 151 243 94 128 149 297 221 150 267 236 285 246 81 165 257 204 253 172 194 101 310 311 291 312 ... ... 236 records.

Total ... 759 records.

M. G. MEHKRI,
District Magistrate.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

Notice dated 30th January 1933.

It is hereby notified that the following documents are lying unclaimed for more than two years in the undermentioned Sub-Registry offices in the District, and that they will be destroyed in accordance with Section 85 of the Registration Regulation, No. I of 1903, if not claimed by persons entitled to the same at the respective Sub-Registry offices within one month from the date of first publication.

Serial No.	Name of Sub-Registry Office	Number of the book	Number of the instrument	Date of execution	Date of registration or refusal	Names of executants	Name of claimants	Nature and value of transaction
1	Shikarpur Sub-Registry Office.	I	372—30-31	2nd December 1930.	2nd December 1930.	Hayata Khan, son of Jamal Khan of Shiralkoppa.	Sannappa, son of Siddanna of Shiralkoppa.	Sale deed for Rs. 20.
2	Kumsi Sub-Registry Office.	I	71—30-31	10th October 1930	1st November 1930.	Lakshmamma alias Akkamma kom Halyalada Hanumantharaya, Kumsi.	Venkappa, son of Sunkada Annappa Kumsi.	Do Rs. 93-8-0

C. LAKSHMANA RAO,
For *District Registrar.*